

minds in motion

The Docent Educator

Vol 1, no. 3 1992

Publisher/Editor
Alan Gartenhaus

Associate Editor
Jackie Littleton

Editorial Assistant
Molly Dempsey

Graphic Design
Shelly Baldwin

minds in motion

The Docent Educator ©

2011 Eleventh Avenue East
Seattle, WA 98102-4109

The Docent Educator welcomes unsolicited articles, announcements, letters to the editor, general correspondence, and advertising inquiries. The views expressed or implied in this publication do not necessarily represent the official position of the publisher, and efforts are made to present a variety of viewpoints for readers' consideration.

The Docent Educator is published quarterly. The office and mailing address is:
2011 Eleventh Avenue East
Seattle, WA 98102-4109

The Docent Educator is available by individual and institutional subscription.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, or used in any manner, without the written consent of the publisher.

All rights are reserved.

Cover Photo:

Krista Butcher, a docent with the Sunrise Museums in Charleston, WV, uses inquiry to teach sixth graders who are learning to understand and appreciate art.

minds in motion— Teaching

Asking Questions

Watch people at dinner buffets. They slow their usual pace, survey the range of offerings, investigate alternatives, and actively pursue every intriguing morsel.

If only our minds were as adventurous as our palates! When presented with a sumptuous array of exhibited objects to sample, most of us look too quickly, resist investigating, and dismiss thoughts and ideas before giving them consideration.

Teaching with questions forces learners to alter these behaviors.

reinforces learning behaviors consistent with perceptual and intellectual self-sufficiency.

Visitors arrive at institutions with widely differing amounts of interest and information. Inquiry teaching accommodates their diversity by allowing learners to participate in the acquisition of objective and subjective data consistent with their various backgrounds, interests, and levels of sophistication.

You may have noticed the alternating reference to "visitors" as "learners."

Inquiry teaching assists visitors to transition into learners by helping them develop and practice learning skills -- skills they can use again on return visits and in other contexts.

Inquiry teaching, or instruction by asking questions, is not easy or natural. It's a technique that requires practice. And, among its challenges, the most difficult is constructing and sequencing questions that will propel investigation and promote understanding.



National Museum of American History docent Delores Myers stands ready to help visitors transition to "learners."

Inquiry slows the pace, magnifies what is seen, and amplifies what is felt. Skillful questioning requires learners to observe purposefully, develop ideas, make discoveries, examine responses and attitudes, and postpone decision-making. In short, inquiry teaching

Constructing Questions

The questions used with inquiry teaching should be "open-ended." Open-ended questions ask learners to make observations or generate ideas, while accommodating their divergent perspectives. Open-ended questions

with Questions

by Alan Gartenhaus

can have many appropriate answers. Closed-ended questions, by contrast, call for brief and predictable answers that usually consist of remembered factual information.

Since inquiry teaching serves to stimulate active exploration and reflective thinking, closed-ended questions that challenge the learners' prior knowledge, such as "Does anyone know what type of bird this is?" are less useful. Questions ought to prompt learners to acquire information or make determinations, rather than test their ability to recall facts.

Sequencing Questions

The questions posed during inquiry lessons must be thoughtfully and purposefully sequenced. They are not put forth randomly. In his text, *Ah Hah! The Inquiry Process of Generating and Testing Ideas*, John McCollum offers a useful model. He recommends that the first question asked be a "describing" one, calling for any observation. The follow-up question should request "explanations," forcing learners to more adequately reference their observations to the object or life form discussed. The third question should require "testing" the explanations by having learners generate ideas, hypotheses, or predictions. This sequence of **describing, explaining, and testing** gives inquiry lessons focus and an enabling structure.

A zoo or natural history docent using inquiry would inform her audience that the bird they're looking at is a Great Horned Owl. Then she might ask, "How is this bird different from song birds you've seen?" "Describing" questions such as this accommodate a wide range of

responses, while opening discussion possibilities further. Among the responses offered might be observations about the shape and size of the bird's claws, or talons. A follow-up, "explaining" question would require a more detailed description of just how the bird's talons differ in size and shape from

"Questions ought to prompt learners to acquire information or make determinations, rather than test their ability to recall facts."

others. A subsequent "testing" question, such as, "Why might these owls need such large, powerful talons?", challenges learners to develop ideas and hypotheses about their observations and explanations. By teaching with questions in this example, learners glean information and understandings about such topics as habitat, food sources, and evolved specialization without having to be told.

This same sequence is appropriate to all subject areas and content considerations. An art museum docent exploring the subjective qualities of a painting with learners would use the same structure of describing, explaining, and testing questions. His "describing" question might ask for the emotional response provoked by the work. His "explaining" question would ask learners to identify those aspects of the painting that contribute to their emotional response. His "testing" question might have learners

speculate about the changes required for this painting to convey an opposite emotion. This sequence allows learners to make their own discoveries about the range of emotional content in a work; the manner in which the artist manipulated materials to convey such content; and how the artist's deliberate choices determined the work's meaning.

Some might prefer that docents function as "talking labels," simply identifying objects and dispensing information. However, this is counterproductive to teaching's ultimate goal of producing independent learners. Inquiry demonstrates ways of examining and explaining objects, responses, and phenomena, and encourages learners to generate their own ideas and meanings.

Alan Gartenhaus is the publishing editor of *The Docent Educator*, and the author of several texts and articles on museum education, creative thinking, and object-based learning. The recipient of an Alden B. Dow Creativity Fellowship, he served as an educator at the Museum of Arts and Sciences (Daytona, FL), the New Orleans Museum of Art, and the Smithsonian Institution. In 1989, he began *Minds in Motion*, a consulting, writing, and publishing business offering workshops and written materials in support of docents and museum education.